

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,710	10/31/2001	Shlomo Ben-Haim	20066-86	3830
26418	7590 04/15/2005		EXAM	INER
REED SMIT	•	LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NY 10022-7650		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T 4					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/001,710	· BEN-HAIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carl H. Lavno What la	<del>5-</del> 3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w					
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue and the period for reply will, by statue and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a position of the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	March 2005					
	_					
<u>,                                     </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 9-17 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>						
· <u> </u>	•					
· · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• ,	` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	` ' '				
		7 G. 100 7 G. 101 1 7 7 7 7 1 2 2 .				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ul>	nts have been received.	·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri						
application from the International Bure.	•	received in this realional Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
200 me shames detailed and delight for a ne						
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/22/05.</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/001,710 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 15, 2005 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are canceled. Claims 9-17 are active and pending.

## Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claims for priority based upon previously filed U.S Provisional Applications. For the purposes of this examination, the priority filing date of 2/5/96 is used since this application is the first to disclose an "electrical muscle controller" utilizing applicant's currently claimed "non-excitatory" signals. Although the applicant also cited U.S Provisional Application 60/009,769 (filed 1/11/96), this application made no mention of applying "non-excitatory" signals to the patient.

Information Disclosure Statement

Application/Control Number: 10/001,710 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449) which was received by the Office on March 22, 2005. This document is the third copy received of Applicant's "Third Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement". Copies of each cited reference were delivered by messenger and personally received by the Examiner in mid-March 2005.

#### **Drawings**

5. Applicant's formal drawings were received by the Office on October 31, 2001 and have been approved by the Examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 6. The indicated allowability of claims 11-15 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Fromer et al and Scherlag. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Fromer et al article: "Ultrarapid Subthreshold Stimulation for Termination of Atrioventricular Node Reentrant Tachycardia" (JACC, Vol.20, No.4, October 1992: 879-883) (Applicant's cited prior art).

Art Unit: 3762

The article by Fromer et al entitiled: "Ultrarapid Subthreshold Stimulation for Termination of Atrioventricular Node Reentrant Tachycardia" (JACC, Vol.20, No.4, October 1992: 879-883), which was cited by the Applicant as prior art, describes an apparatus and method for treating AV node reentrant tachycardias and preventing atrial fibrillations by applying ultra-rapid sub-threshold electrical stimulation to parts of the right atrium and coronary sinus. The applied electrical stimulation comprised trains of low powered pulses having insufficient strength to cause atrial capture (Abstract – "Results" section). The pulses were applied through a distal pair of electrodes (p.879, right column, "Methods", lines 19-20) located on the right atrium and proximal coronary sinus (p.880, left column, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph).

9. Claims 13-15 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scherlag '564 (Applicant's cited prior art).

The Scherlag '564 patent, cited by the applicant as prior art, describes a method and apparatus for alleviating and diagnosing symptoms of heart block involving the delivery of subthreshold electrical stimulations to the heart (Abstract). Although the Examiner mentioned reasons why a similar reference (i.e. Scherlag '642) was inapplicable in a previous office action dated 5/27/04, the Scherlag '564 patent discloses an embodiment (col.2, lines 51-55) in which sub-threshold stimuli are applied to the AV junction in the heart to induce heart block symptoms (i.e. inhibit propagating action potentials) as part of a heart block diagnosis methodology.

In regard to claim 14, the stimulation signals generated by stimulus generator 12 (Fig. 1) would inherently create their own electric field.

In regard to claim 15, the Scherlag '564 apparatus is equipped with a stimulation lead having 2-4 electrodes (Figs.1-3B).

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 9, 10, 16, and 17 are allowed.

## Reasons for Allowance

11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 9, 10, 16, and 17 are still deemed to be allowable over the prior art of record since they disclose methods and apparatus, respectively, pertaining to the use of "non-excitatory stimulus" signals to a patient's heart or "portion thereof" as a precursor to cardiac surgery. Of the prior art references disclosed by the Applicant, only the Matheny et al '378 and Taylor et al '876 patents disclose devices which slow a patient's heart rate for surgical reasons. Unlike applicant's claims, however, Matheny et al '378 discloses a method involving the application of stimulation pulses to the patient's vagal nerve, not to the patient's heart, to reduce heart activity. Similarly, Taylor et al '876 discloses a vagal nerve stimulator, however unlike Matheny et al, Taylor et al shows that one of its stimulation electrodes (Fig.22) appears to be located on the patient's heart (Clip Position #4). This reference, however, could not be used by the Examiner because of its defective pertinent filing date (7/3/1997). Other pertinent references cited by the Applicant making use of sub-threshold cardiac stimulation signals were Mower '351 and Kieval '464. Mower discloses a multi-site device used in atrial defibrillation while Kieval discloses a system for enhancing cardiac function. Both references fail to teach applicant's claimed use of

Application/Control Number: 10/001,710

Art Unit: 3762

inhibiting heart activity for surgical purposes, and are also deficient with respect to priority filing

Page 6

dates.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4949. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday from 9 AM to 6 PM and every

other Friday between 9AM and 5PM. A voice mail or E-mail message (carl.layno@uspto.gov)

may be left if desired.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes, can be reached on (571) 272-4955. All faxed correspondence should

be sent to the Office's Official FAX number (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) Brenda Webb whose telephone number

is (571) 272-4399.

CHL

04/13/2005